



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2013_BJEMT_5914
Title of the Manuscript:	<i>DOES REAL EFFECTIVE EXCHANGE RATE MATTERS FOR AGRICULTURAL OUTPUT IN NIGERIA? A COINTEGRATION APPROACH</i>
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (a.) The reviewer's view is probably because the wrong result was typed. I have included the correct results in both the overparameterize and parsimonious ECM result as shown in table 3 and table 4. (b.) The data have been included in table A in the paper. (c.) I agree with the reviewer's comments. The results in tables 3 and table 4 were wrongly typed. I have included the right results in both the overparameterize and parsimonious ECM result as shown in table 3 and table 4.
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This paper overall is good, but there are some shortcomings: Line 178: The method used to estimate this equation in this study may lead to multiple –collinearity, which will made the resulets reliable. Line 189-193: this study used value data. We can not find the processing for comparable data, which will also made the resulets reliable. Tables 3 and 4: Model estimations may be not correct , because there are no significant parameters, which can affect the following results and conclusions.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
---	--	--